23 August 1976

FORM 101 USE PREVIOUS 5-75 101 EDITIONS

OLC

0040003002 T-Executive Registr Approved For Release 2004/12/20 : CIA-RDP 9M00467 17 August 1976 Director of Central Intelligence MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence D/DCI/IC DDO DDI DDS&T DDA Comptroller General Counsel George L. Cary, Legislative Counsel FROM Contacts with Members of the House and Senate SUBJECT Appropriations Committees Concerning the Conference on the FY 1977 Budget 1. In view of the interest that has been expressed by various

- offices in contacts with members of the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees who will be participating in the conference on the intelligence budgets for FY 1977, I am circulating herewith a copy of my memorandum of 1 July 1976 along with the background papers leading up to my conversations with Representative Jack Edwards (R., Ala.) and Ralph Preston, staff member of the House Appropriations Committee.
- 2. You will recall that my suggestion that we involve members of the House Committee in the Charles Snodgrass "hearings" was rejected at a 9:00 meeting last month. Further, I am fearful that an effort to involve members in extensive substantive briefings on the subjects of our reclama on the House cuts at this time may well meet the same fate as our attempt to get together with Chairman George Mahon for a session on the DDO investigation. Moreover, reactions I have received from the members who attended the session we gave several members on the SIGINT issue last year indicate that that session, involving a number of Agency briefers was a near disaster.
- 3. As you know, the Director has agreed to telephone the Chairmen of the two Appropriations Committees to ask them to support our reclama requests in Conference. My staff will then make contact with these and the

E2 IMPDET CL BY Signer

CONFIDENTIAL

other members involved in the Conference to firm up their support and to offer to provide them with back-up papers and briefings if they desire them.

4. I don't think we want own parochial position, involved members unless the member re- game is to get their support. If	ally needs or wants them. The	sessions with these he name of the
to the items, I suggest we do it.] 25X1A
. I	/\Geørge L. Carv/	J
	Geørge L. Cary Legislative Counsel	

OLC 76-2128

1 July 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Meetings With Representative Jack Edwards (R., Ala.) and Ralph Preston, House Appropriations Committee Staff, Regarding Charles Snodgrass' "Hearings" on 19 July and Staff Investigation of DDO

- 1. Following up on the Director's note of yesterday, I met with Representative Jack Edwards (R., Ala.), and subsequently with Ralph Preston, of the House Appropriations Committee staff, to raise with them the possibility of having Defense Subcommittee members present at the "hearing" which Charles Snodgrass, also of the Committee, is holding at Headquarters on 19 July, and the possibility of having meetings with the Subcommittee members with regard to the staff investigation of the DDO. Mr. Edwards indicated that he was sure that he and other Republican members would be willing to participate in both of these sessions, although he cited the problem of time constraints. Ralph Preston (with whom I spoke when I was unable to get through to Chairman George Mahon) was not optimistic that the Chairman or many of the Democratic leaders would be available for either of these.
- 2. I had a good talk with Mr. Edwards. I pointed out that the Director thought it would be useful if some of the Subcommittee members could find the time to come out to the Agency on 19 July and listen to the Agency's position on the various items covered in the Director's reclammaletter on the House cuts in the Defense Appropriation for FY 77. Mr. Edwards said that, while he would be willing to attend the meeting and he thought other Republican members of the Subcommittee would also, he doubted that we would be able to get Chairman Mahon, or most of the Ranking majority members, out. However, he thought that members like Representatives Bill Chappell (D., Fla.) and Bill Burlison (D., Mo.) might participate. He said he appreciated the point I was making of involving Subcommittee members more directly in Agency matters and agreed with it. (I had used the Hallmark slogan of "caring enough" to participate directly.)

- 3. I made the same point with respect to Subcommittee members participating in a review of the activities of the DDO. I told him that the DDO has been investigated by several committees of Congress during the past several years and they are beginning to wonder when these investigations will ever end. I pointed out that we had no objection to discussing our activities with the Investigations staff, but that these men, while very competent, were essentially fact finders and there are certain aspects of the DDO mission that involve some judgmental determination. These we would hope to discuss with the Subcommittee members directly. Mr. Edwards granted the validity of this argument and the fact that the "name of the game" on congressional oversight included involvement of Subcommittee members and direct contact with the Agency on matters of this sort. He did say, however, that if he came to the Agency for a briefing on DDO activities, he did not want to be given a "dog and pony show." He is interested in a good give and take session. I said this was exactly what we would hope to accomplish.
- 4. Later in the day I discussed these matters with Ralph Preston. He was not at all optimistic about the prospect of getting the Chairman or any of the majority members of the Subcommittee to participate in meetings or hearings of this sort. He said it was difficult enough to get them to turn out for Subcommittee meetings on scheduled items such as covert action briefings or budget meetings. I said the Chairman might designate members of a Subcommittee or participants for such sessions if he (the Chairman) could not participate himself. Preston said, as a matter of fact, the Committee was centralizing much of the Subcommittee's work rather than decentralizing it. He mentioned that the Military Construction Subcommittee was being abolished and its jurisdiction transferred to the full Defense Subcommittee. He said the members are having to rely more and more on the staff to do the work of the Subcommittee and he just didn't think we would be successful in getting majority members present.
- 5. I advised Preston of my conversation with Mr. Edwards and also mentioned that we were briefing the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence in depth on many sensitive operational and other matters

and that I thought the members of the House Committees were getting somewhat behind the power curve in this respect. Preston said he thought the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence would not be able to keep up the current pace and he expected that Committee's staff to do most of the work in the future. Preston and I both were pressed for time and I told him that I would like to pursue this discussion with him at a later date.

25X1A

GEORGE L. CARY Legislative Counsel

cc: D/DCI/IC DDO Jim Taylor

Distribution:

Orig OLC/Subj 1 - OLC/Chrono OLC/GLC/ksn

SECRET

. Approved For Repase 2004/12/20: CIA-RDP79M00467/200400030021-2
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

76. 2702/j

To: OLC George:

I did NOTtake -this up with Mahon or Edwards. Please do it yourself. You can use my name in inviting sub comm. members out here and I will attend if you want me to. GB 6-30

Executive Registry

29 June 1976

OFK

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

FROM

: George L. Cary, Legislative Counsel

SUBJECT

: Charles Snodgrass! "Hearings" on

19 July 1976

1. You will recall that when Jack Iams mentioned Charles Snodgrass' intention to hold "helpful hearings" on our reclamma letter here at the Agency on 19 July, I suggested that we try to get some of the members of the Defense Subcommittee come out at the same time. I think most of us would agree that Chuck's mind is pretty well made up on the appropriations issue and the only way the Agency can benefit from such a session is to have some of the Subcommittee members in attendance.

Action

- 2. You might want to raise this point with Chairman George Mahon if you get to see him within the next few days; otherwise, I would be happy to take it up with the Chairman and Representative Jack Edwards.
- 3. The Comptroller will be making arrangements for this session pretty soon. If we are going to try to contact members we should do it before they leave for the Democratic Convention recess.

George L. Cary
Legislative Counsel

STATINTL

ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE CHLY

SUBJECT: (Optional)			G AND		
FROM: Legislative Counsel				DATE 17 August 1976 STATIN	
	ion, room number, and		DATE PORWARDED	OFFICER'S INTIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who to whom. Draw a line across column after each commen
n D/DG	ī/ic		\mathcal{N}		We are contacting the offices of Chairmen McClellan and Mahon,
2 DDG1					as well as those of other key Democratic members on the Hou and Senate Conference on the
3. DCI					Defense Appropriations bill who may be in town this week so that the Director can make his calls.
5.	1 A 1				and we can provide follow-up data and briefings as indicateds in the attached memorandum.
6:					
7.	A Commission				George L. Cary Legislative Counsel
8.					
?					DC \ Like Eller
10.					A Line Telling Regens to July Lie
n 17.					
12.					
13:					